



*Minutes of the Hammond Historic District Commission
January 2, 2020
City of Hammond Building Department – 219 E. Robert St.*

- Meeting called to order by Chairman, Mr. Ryan Faulk, at 11:20 a.m.
- Verification of meeting notice given by Director, Ms. Jennie Garcia
- Roll call taken:
 - Present: Jessica Shirey, Susan Seale, Jen White, and Ryan Faulk
 - Absent: Shauna Seals and John Exnicios

Old Business:

- **101 W Thomas (Next Home Real Estate) – Canopy**
 - Application presented by: Rob Anderson
 - *Essentially 101 W. Thomas, Next Home Real Estate, is proposing to remove the current canopy and replace it. The current canopy is rotting. The applicant is proposing to remove the rotting canopy and replace it with a new aluminum canopy awning with the overhead supports going back into the brick.*
 - *Ryan Faulk – Rob provided this detailed section of the canopy. So, what I understood is that you are using this profile, this material. Is it still going to be this fascia component?*
 - *Rob Anderson points to the detailed drawing submitted and explains the proposed canopy and confirms Jessica Shirey’s question that the proposed canopy will have the same profile as the example submitted.*
 - *Ryan Faulk – And you have a support beam underneath?*
 - *Rob Anderson – Yes, that’s for the anchors.*
 - *Jessica Shirey – Will that be, how thick is that?*
 - *Rob Anderson states that the support beam will be hidden by the fascia and only seen when underneath the canopy; support beam will be continuous and will either be 2 x 2 or 3 x 3.*
 - *Ryan Faulk – How far back from the face?*
 - *Rob Anderson – That depends on the anchors right here – I would say within a foot. It will be, from the front edge it will be in wards of a foot. It all depends on what that angle is right there.*

- *Ryan Faulk further explains the canopy design to the other Commissioners by drawing out the support beam and the anchors. Commissioners and Rob Anderson discuss the submitted drawing for further understanding.*
- *Jessica Shirey – Are you going to see the beam from below?*
- *Rob Anderson – You will see the beam but it will be farther back.*
- *Jessica Shirey – Right but is it going to go further down than this (front of canopy)?*
- *Rob Anderson – No.*
- *Jen White – So it will be inset underneath those lips.*
- *Rob Anderson – If we have to make the face of that metal bigger than we will do that.*
- *Jen White – To cover the...*
- *Rob Anderson – To cover that beam.*
- *Jen White – So unless you're up underneath it, you don't see it.*
- *Rob Anderson – And again that beams gonna be placed like I said a foot wherever this rod comes out. We're going to try to use the old rods we got if we are going to be able to but we are going to try.*
- *Ryan Faulk – But if you are going to replace the rods, you're just going to go with a 3/4" rod.*
- *Rob Anderson – Correct.*
- *Jen White – The same.*
- *Ryan Faulk – And using the same connection points on the building?*
- *Rob Anderson – No. The connection points, those rods are actually part of that connection point. Now what we will try to do is cut them off right there so we can use that. That connection point from what I see right there is basically just like a red head bolt, so caulking in there, a lead anchor there's nothing really special there.*
- *Jen White – But you're not going to have to put new holes, you can at least use that bolt to reattach whatever.*
- *Rob Anderson – We're going to use the same holes*
- *Commissioners all agree that using the same holes is preferred.*
- *Rob Anderson – Same holes will be used.*
- *Ryan Faulk – What we are expecting to see is a similar arrangement here using the same attachment point and you're going to change that anchor, we're not expecting this big ol'hunking plate.*
- *Commissioners discuss that the current support rods blend in with the building and are not very noticeable.*
- *Jessica Shirey – I don't have any other questions.*
- *Jen White – Was there – didn't we talk a little bit about color? Last time? You had said white but...*
- *Rob Anderson – You have the color choices right there. What they don't suggest is using dark bronze because the color will fade on top and we're finding that a lot of coping that we do on the buildings the color is not lasting.*
- *Jen White – I knew it had been brought up but I didn't remember what happened.*

- *Ryan Faulk – And barring that the only color I would recommend is white, considering those other colors the beige and the brown, maybe that’s appropriate and that would go with almost anything that they want to put on the building.*
 - *Susan Seale – And that building on Morris Street has the dark windows low and the white windows up and that looks alright. I like the dark windows and the dark trim but I can see the reason you don’t want to do it. Where’s your business located?*
 - *Rob Anderson – It’s in Tickfaw by Hwy 51 by the Dollar Store right there, in between the Family Dollar and the Dollar Store. We’ve been up there for over 10 years, 12 years, 14 years, I forget. I stay underneath the radar.*
 - *Commissioners go back to the drawing and discuss the placement of the support beam. Ryan Faulk further explains that there will be a lip around the proposed new canopy and the support beam running underneath about a foot from the lip.*
 - *Ryan Faulk – If ya’ll don’t have any other questions I’ll move that we accept the application with the appended, as submitted with the appended detailed drawing showing the insulated panel with the color white.*
 - *Susan Seale – When are ya’ll going to start on it?*
 - *Rob Anderson – The next phase is to price it out and have the owners’ accept it. I know he wants to start right away because it is a safety issue right now. So now we have this, between now and Sunday I will get the pricing together for him. Are you going to require any permits, sidewalk permits or anything?*
 - *Jessica Shirey – You still have to get a building permit after this.*
 - *Motion to approve the application with the appended detailed drawing by Ryan Faulk. Second by Jessica Shirey.*
 - *Vote: Jessica Shirey (Y), Susan Seale (Y), Jen White (Y), and Ryan Faulk (Y)*
 - *Motion Approved: 4-0*
- **Public Input:**
 - None is given
- **Adjournment:**
 - *Motion to Adjourn by Jen White and seconded by Susan Seale.*
 - *Vote: Jessica Shirey (Y), Susan Seale (Y), Jen White (Y), and Ryan Faulk (Y)*
 - *Motion Approved: 7-0*